International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

P-ISSN: 2617-5754
E-ISSN: 2617-5762
IJRFM 2024; 7(1): 443-446
www.allfinancejournal.com
Received: 06-04-2024
Accepted: 11-05-2024

Ramin Abbasov
University of California Berkeley, Haas School of Business, 2220 Piedmont Ave, Berkeley, California, United States

Correspondence
Ramin Abbasov
University of California Berkeley, Haas School of Business, 2220 Piedmont Ave, Berkeley, California, United States

Revolutionizing risk management in banking: Implementation of AI/ML-based gradient boosting machines (GBM) and random forest models for credit risk management

Ramin Abbasov

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2024.v7.i1e.324

Abstract
This paper is aimed at explaining the Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) and Random Forest model's role in the banking industry's credit risk management. Starting with collecting and cleaning the required data, which entails demographic data, financial information, loan details, and economic indicators, the report explains the training and assessment of gradient boosting machine (GBM) and random forest models. Measures like accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the ROC curve are employed to validate the efficiency of a model. After that, the practical implications of using GBM and Random Forest models in a banking operation are inspected regarding decision-making process improvements, fewer defaults, and higher banking profit.

Keywords: Gradient boosting machine (GBM), random forest model, banking industry

Introduction

The necessity of resolving credit risk through accurate assessment and management in the current situation cannot be emphasized more than before in the financial business sphere. A game-changing dive has led to the widespread use of AI and ML methods (GBM and Random Forest algorithms). Such technologies open new horizons, expanding their analysis capacities to uncover unknown dynamics, find similar patterns, and predict credit risk with unmatched precision credit risk. GBMs and random forests shine out in finding complicated relations with non-linear features of financial data, which banks endow with a first-rate instrument to judge a borrower's creditworthiness. Through this, banks can use the available models to boost their underlying processes when making lending decisions. This will translate to lower default rates and, in turn, better profitability. Successful application of data science in financial risk management, however, calls not only for robust technological infrastructure but also for efficient cooperation between data professionals, risk managers, and business leaders to bring together the model outputs and business objectives, as well as regulatory compliance. Nevertheless, AI/ML still delivers the promise to credit risk management initiatives due to open data quality issues, model interpretability, and regulation, which require ongoing development and innovation to minimize the risks.

Data collection and preparation methodology

Credit risk assessment involves gathering and choosing adequate data to assess debtors' financial condition, loan characteristics, and a macro system situation (Çallı and Coşkun, 2021) [3]. Financial institutions must find several good internal and external data sources, such as customer base, application forms, transaction history, and credit score analytics. Accuracy and quality data-to-data cleaning and maintenance are vital. The components that are significant to it are imputation for gaps, outlier's detection, and validation. Feature engineering is a decisive phase in extracting the right structured features through transformational techniques and selecting the fundamental component of the unstructured form of the data source. Sampling errors produce biased models; thus, approaches such as holding the positive and negative proportions, removing the latter or neutral, and resampling is used to maintain the truth in the datasets. It is just as important to allocate time to validate learned skills after the training program to minimize the chance of ineffectiveness when applying them in real life. Methods like cross-validation or holdout validation can assess whether the model is resistant to data noise when it is true or incorrect and prevent overfitting (Papoutsoglou *et al*., 2023) [11]. Write a formulation of the data collection and preparation document encompassing any stage for repetition and confidence in the model. Using the data governance policies makes it possible to be law-abiding and protect consumers' privacy. Provide security data controls, data encryption, and audit trails to install secure data and avoid data integrity rental. Constantly bearing and revising the protocols is fundamental to equipping them with updated standards and rules. Create an environment that consistently monitors and reinforces evaluation of the method and conduct of data collection and accuracy of the performance. Continuous adaptation to altering business standings and legislation targets by awareness of the emergence of industry developments and adopting the latest technologies and procedures can significantly contribute to risk management improvements.

The result of GBM and Random forest model development and practical implementation in the credit risk

Applying the Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) and Random Forest modeling for credit risk management intertwines innovation and practice by turning the old and mundane into the novel and promising. The procedure starts with the precise compilation and preprocessing of the data, identifying the demographic specifics, financial information, loan details, and macroeconomic data that are all significant parts of determining the borrower's credit value. While the data set is carefully hand-picked, the GBM and Random Forest models will be employed to extract and understand the insights the data set offers. The workings of GBM come into being using the ensemble learning technique that involves the sequential creation of multiple weak learners, which eliminates the mistakes produced by its former elements while, in this manner, slowly improving the accuracy of the model. Random Forest combines multiple decision trees to form an overall model that either votes or is averaged to provide a stable and versatile approach to credit risk assessment (Otchere, 2023) [10]. These models, which serve as the core in the money-oriented data-driven procedures, are important in leading the credit risk management in banking as this enables a financial institution to make loans based on the economic capacity of their clients that minimize cases of defaults and optimize its level of profitability.

While evaluation of both GBM and Random Forest models is undeniably crucial to determine their possible real-world performance, it has to be stressed that an impartial assessment and thoroughness would ensure the reliability of their application to credit risk management. Using a set of metrics that includes accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the ROC curve enables practitioners to look into how the models work on differentiating creditworthy and noncredit worthy borrowers. At the same time, they troubleshoot the false positives and negatives (Maxwell *et al*. 2021) [8]. Correctness implies a measure of the model in which false positives and negatives are excluded, showing the total proportion of correctly classified cases out of the entire data set. Stratification goes even deeper, identifying the number of true positives in the set of positives, thereby enabling the determination of the model performance characteristics that will avoid false positives. At the same time, the true positive rate indicates the proportion of true cases correctly identified, emphasizing that the model learns to recognize all positive instances, which has become a true positive predictor.

In real credit risk assessment, the impact of implementing the Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) and Random Forest models within the banking industry can be seen in their use for loan application analysis and the prediction of the default for individual borrowers based on their financial characteristics and histories. The GBM and Random Forest algorithms will train the available datasets, consisting of demographic details, credit histories, loan histories, and macroeconomic data. The second step involves the integration of the models into the existing loan approval process at this level. When loan applications are submitted, the GBM and random forest models deployed help determine the applicant's ability to repay in real-time. The models use applicants' information entered into them, including age, income, employment status, credit score, loan amount, and other relevant factors as inputs, and then further go to predict default probabilities. The fact predicted by the model helps loan officers and decision-makers make appropriate lending decisions and manage the loan portfolio wisely. Suppose the candidates who represent a high probability of missed payments according to the model forecasts go through additional checks. In that case, their involvement in the loan process may be regulated by some risk mitigation measures, like higher interest rates or lower loan amounts. On the other hand, using forecasting, those who were low-risk according to the model would only be thrown a few obstacles but rather just going along the approval process.

Credit risk assessment is the area of expertise attended to by Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) as well as Random Forest models. Still, each possesses its respective strengths, resulting in high-performance ratings. GBM, by calculating the generalized series of optimization steps, outperforms in exploiting joint action of features and output values. By retraining the model with parameters randomly obtained from previous predictions, GBM can gradually adapt to heavier and more complicated patterns and achieve high predictive accuracy. Every credit risk evaluation analysis, which is the single variable intuition behind the borrower's attributes and indicators, is dramatic. Using probabilistic analysis, GBM has the potential of unearthing this complexity, thus equipping banks with the power to more accurately predict if a specific borrower will default. This results in an improved system of risk management.

However, the random forest models adopt a different mechanism for credit risk assessment, leveraging the collective knowledge of several hundreds of decision trees (Alonso and Carbó, 2021) [1]. The Random Forest model data processing is achieved through numerous trees clumped to gather their votes through averaging or voting; thus, the model displays its robustness to outliers and noise. This robustness is of the utmost importance for the model's effectiveness during unforeseen or unconventional real-life scenarios, where such noisy or irregular data would undoubtedly degrade the model's performance.

From a credit hazard scrutiny perspective, comparing the GBM (Gradient Boosting Machine) and Random Forest techniques gives us the fruits we seek in practical usage. GBM tends to surpass the random forest model in this area, especially when the data contains a certain complexity and relations among them. Firstly, GBM's sequential optimization process discovers the hidden patterns that are preserved in the model and involves polishing the fine nuances, which, in turn, results in higher accuracy than Random Forest. This is of cardinal importance in credit risk assessment, where good predictions are necessary because good, mindful, and mitigating decisions depend on them.

Regarding the readability aspect, there is no need to parse random forests and GBM (Chen *et al*., 2020) [5]. The significant attribute of Random Forests is that they are the entire constructed model, and hence, their outputs may be easily interpreted. Aggregating the results of different decision tree algorithms, Random Forests generate a strong result of feature importance, which can be used as an appealing representation for all stakeholders to help them understand the factors driving credit risk assessments. Interpretability in this aspect is critical for retail banking, where compliance and transparency are considered. The media by which people would adopt GBM means, or Random Forest, is specific to their credit assessment. When the meaningful optimization of accuracy is the number one objective, and the computational resources are not a limiting factor, the GBM will probably be the choice of model. On the other hand, Random Forest models can be chosen over the Audience, drawing attention to variants if computational efficiency and interpretability are the prime factors.

Practical implications of GBM and R.F. machines (GBM and R.F.) in the decision-making process of the banking sector as the tool of credit risk management can be revolutionary, namely, reconsidering the overall risk management strategy. Banking institutions can build up collateral against risks by using the predictive power of these models. Proper credit assessment will be conducted this way, and consequently, the default rate will decrease. The high prediction accuracy of GBM and Random Forest models allows banks to make more accurate credit judgments, visualize the probability of default, and give people loans based on the perceived risk of default. This implies a substantial decrease in the number of non-performing loans that continually result in great losses and, consequently, lesser profits for banks.

To keep them relevant, one should constantly look for an opportunity to examine and enhance the performances of GBMs and Random Forest models in credit risk management in real-life scenarios. The banks should set up reliable management procedures that follow the model's behavior over time and get to know any indications or challenges they might find. This encompasses maintaining a constant monitoring of vital performance indicators such as the estimator accuracy, false positive rate, false negative rate, and the stability of the model. Through continuous monitoring of the model's performance on a real-time basis, banks can quickly learn about any disparities or anomalies and immediately correct the mistakes evolving out of the model.

Along with quantitative performances, it is important to introduce feedback from application users on the ground and other stakeholders to identify the viability and efficiency of the GBMs and Random Forest models in the real world. Responses to models would originate from different sources, including loan officers, risk managers, regulators, or customers, who may interact with models differently. Through feedback, one can determine places for growth and the sentiment of the individuals, as well as focus on key areas of improvement so that the models meet the customers' expectations. They need to be proactive in looking for and seizing such chances, which include using new data sets, improved feature engineering, or transferring modern algorithmic modeling techniques to make their models more effective (Shah, 2021) [12].

Discussion and actionable recommendation

Nowadays, the banking industry is at a thrilling juncture where sophisticated machine learning tools are at the center stage, including Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM) and Random Forest models, as essentials to revolutionizing credit risk assessment. Data quality and availability are central factors determining the success of GBM (Gradient Boosting Machines) and Random Forest models (Khetani *et al*., 2023) [6]. Bankers should be able to have diverse and accurate datasets to have access to relevant data, including demographic disparities, financial records, kinds of loans, and macroeconomic indirect.

Another key point determining the effectiveness of the models by GBM and Random Forest is the interpretability and explainability (Banegas-Luna *et al*. 2021) [2]. On the one hand, these models are known for their high-status predictive accuracy. However, on the other hand, their complex nature can be so troublesome that understanding the rationale behind such predictions becomes challenging. One of the measures to apprehend this issue can be implementing interpretability techniques, like feature importance analysis or partial plots of almost any model feature that contributes to the process and other model-agnostic interpretability methods. Also, close supervision and performance assessment are the main pillars of the best model adoption program (Mio *et al*., 2022) [9]. Reasonable financial institutions should set up the proper tracking mechanisms to monitor their GBM and Random Forest model's behavior in real-life applications and use the detailed metrics to assess model accuracy, specificity, and stability.

Regarding practical recommendations, banks must make teams with a cross-functional setup, with data scientists, business leaders, and risk managers operating on the implementation of models (Martinez *et al*. 2021) [7]. This cooperation of the different disciplines helps in achieving the technical objectives and the business goals and thus assures that the models fit all requirements and needs of the energy but are not restricted to it.

Conclusion

Implementing the GBM and the R.F. methods is a revolutionary stage for the banking sector risk management. These sophisticated AI-based learning techniques offer unmatched predictive accuracy and efficiency to make lending decisions based on reliable indicators rather than a few general ones, allowing banks to take fewer risks and thus make more profit. Nevertheless, pragmatization factors like data quality, model interpretability, continuous monitoring, cross-functional work, and so on should be considered when implementing the green biometrics method and random forest modeling. Hence, these concerns may guide the banks towards revitalizing the culture of innovation and continuous improvement that will, in turn, help them fully utilize these techniques, which could bring significant results to their credit risk management processes. Moreover, through resource allocation to talent development and the promotion of a collaborative environment, banks can plot their path into the future for a thriving financial industry that is becoming increasingly complex and competitive every day. Despite its complexity, the embracement of GBM and Random Forest models can be seen as a technical revolution alongside a strategic need for banks who want to chart their path through credit risk thorniness and secure growth in the digital era.

References

1. Alonso A, Carbó JM. Understanding the performance of machine learning models to predict credit default: A novel approach for supervisory evaluation. 2021. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3774075
2. Banegas-Luna AJ, Peña-García J, Iftene A, Guadagni F, Ferroni P, Scarpato N, *et al*. Towards the interpretability of machine learning predictions for medical applications targeting personalized therapies: A cancer case survey. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(9):4394. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094394
3. Çallı BA, Coşkun E. A longitudinal systematic review of credit risk assessment and credit default predictors. Sage Open. 2021;11(4):21582440211061333. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211061333
4. Chang V, Baudier P, Zhang H, Xu Q, Zhang J, Arami M, *et al*. How Blockchain can impact financial services–The overview, challenges, and recommendations from expert interviewees. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2020;158:120166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120166
5. Chen CH, Tanaka K, Kotera M, Funatsu K. Comparison and improvement of the predictability and interpretability with ensemble learning models in QSPR applications. J Cheminform. 2020;12:1-16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-020-0417-9
6. Khetani V, Gandhi Y, Bhattacharya S, Ajani SN, Limkar S. Cross-Domain Analysis of ML and DL: Evaluating their Impact in Diverse Domains. Int. J Intell. Syst Appl Eng. 2023;11(7s):253-262. Available from: https://www.ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/2951
7. Martinez I, Viles E, Olaizola IG. Data science methodologies: Current challenges and future approaches. Big Data Res. 2021;24:100183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bdr.2020.100183
8. Maxwell AE, Warner TA, Guillén LA. Accuracy assessment in convolutional neural network-based deep learning remote sensing studies-Part 1: A literature review. Remote Sens. 2021;13(13):2450. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13132450
9. Mio C, Costantini A, Panfilo S. Performance measurement tools for sustainable business: A systematic literature review on the sustainability balanced scorecard use. Corp Soc Responsib Environ Manag. 2022;29(2):367-84. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2206
10. Otchere DA. Fundamental error in tree-based machine learning model selection for reservoir characterisation. Energy Geosci. 2023;100229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engeos.2023.100229
11. Papoutsoglou G, Tarazona S, Lopes MB, Klammsteiner T, Ibrahimi E, Eckenberger J, *et al*. Machine learning approaches in microbiome research: Challenges and best practices. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1261889. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1261889
12. Shah V. Machine Learning Algorithms for Cybersecurity: Detecting and Preventing Threats. Rev Esp. Doc. Cient. 2021;15(4):42-66. Available from: https://redc.revistas-csic.com/index.php/Jorunal/article/view/156